

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/721,735 11/25/2003		Seung Hoon Kim	10125/4127 3288			
7590 03/13/2006			EXAMINER			
Brinks Hofer Gilson & Lione Post Office Box 10395			CALEY, M	CALEY, MICHAEL H		
Chicago, IL 60610			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2871			

DATE MAILED: 03/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_
191
9/
<u>_</u>

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/721,735	KIM, SEUNG HOON
Examiner	Art Unit
Michael H. Caley	2871

·	Michael H. Caley	2871	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 27 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS.		•	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, affice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cl	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE D6.07(f).	g date of the final rejection of the FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	s of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered by	PCALISE
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further con (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	•
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a			tie issues toi
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected ciaims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		maliant Amandenaut	(DTOL 204)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		impliant Amendment (P10L-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	·		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-38</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	vit or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under apper and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	ice because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
	<u> </u>		
			•

Continuation of 3. NOTE: New limitation added to all independent claims requires a "unitary case". Such a limitation has not been considered in a previous search and determination of patentability. A new search and further consideration is necessary for proper examination.

Andrew SCHECHTER PRIMARY EXAMINER